Estrogen Receptor Expression in Prostate Cancer and Premalignant Prostatic Lesions
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Estrogens have been implicated in prostatic carcinogenesis and tumor progression. The mechanisms underlying estrogen signaling in human prostate tissue, however, remain poorly understood. Using immunohistochemical and in situ hybridization (ISH) techniques, the present study demonstrates the classical estrogen receptor (ERα) in premalignant lesions and prostatic adenocarcinoma through the various stages of the disease. Conversely, the novel characterized ERβ subtype was undetectable in human prostate tissue. High-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia revealed ERα mRNA and protein expression in 28% and 11% of cases evaluated. Focal ER immunoreactivity was detected in a minority of low- to intermediate-grade adenocarcinoma. High-grade (primary Gleason grade 4 and 5) tumors revealed ER protein expression in 43% (62% respectively) of cases. The most significant ERα gene expression on mRNA and protein levels was observed in hormone refractory tumors and metastatic lesions, including lymph node and bone metastases. Results of the current study suggest that estrogens can affect prostatic carcinogenesis and neoplastic progression through an ER-mediated process in human prostate tissue. (Am J Pathol 1999, 155:641–647)

Since the pioneering work of Huggins and co-workers, estrogens have been widely used in the medical treatment of hormone refractory prostate cancer. The regulatory impact of estrogens on normal and abnormal prostatic growth, however, still remains a matter of great speculation. Both epidemiological and experimental data suggest that estrogens are involved in prostatic carcinogenesis.1,2 The high incidence of clinical prostate cancer in Western countries has been related to several demographic and dietary factors, including increasing age, weight, and a fat-rich diet.1–8 These potential risk factors may be associated with an increase in estrogen levels or high estrogen/androgen levels in circulating blood.1 Some evidence supporting carcinogenic effects of estrogens has been documented in animal models.1,2 Chronic treatment with testosterone of Noble rats results in a high incidence of prostate cancer when combined with estrogens.9–11 More recent studies have reported that neonatal estrogenization induces dysplastic changes of the mouse prostatic epithelium, which shares morphological characteristics with high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) of the human prostate.1,2 Although there is a growing amount of literature dealing with the potential implications of estrogens in prostatic carcinogenesis, there has never been a clear demonstration of direct estrogenic effects on transformed epithelial cells through a receptor-mediated process in human prostate tissue.

The role of estrogens in prostate cancer progression is even less well understood. It is generally accepted that estrogens influence prostatic growth indirectly through effects at the hypothalamic and pituitary levels, reducing gonadotrophin secretion and hence the synthesis of testosterone.12 This concept is supported by immunohistochemical and in situ hybridization (ISH) studies that failed to demonstrate detectable levels of the estrogen receptor (ER) in epithelial compartments of human prostate cancer.13–19 Nevertheless, ER gene expression has been reported in several human prostate cancer cell lines, including LNCaP, PC-3, and DU-145,20,21 although these data have not been confirmed by others.19 A recent study using RT-PCR, ligand binding assays, and immunohistochemistry (IHC) failed to demonstrate detectable ER in prostate cancer cell lines and tissue sections from patients with metastatic disease.19 Regardless of these divergent findings, the recent discovery of an additional ER subtype has shed new light on the role of estrogens in prostate tissue.22–24 The novel ERβ cloned from a rat prostate cDNA library is expressed at high levels in epithelial compartments of the rat prostate gland.22,25 Its presence in human prostate tissue and prostatic adenocarcinoma, however, has not yet been reported in the literature. The ERβ shares high homology with the known ER protein (consequently ERα) in the DNA binding domain but differs in the ligand and transactivation domains.22–24 In human prostate tissue, the classical ER has been detected exclusively in stromal compartments and in subsets of basal cells.13,14,16,17 Thus it is generally
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believed that ERα-mediated effects on the prostatic epithelium are exerted via paracrine mechanisms.

The controversial issue of the potential implications of estrogens in neoplastic prostate growth prompted us to investigate ER gene expression in human prostate tissue by combined immunohistochemical and mRNA ISH techniques. Using improved antigen retrieval methods and the well-characterized antibody NCL-ER-6F11 directed against the whole protein of the classical ER,26 we were able to demonstrate the nuclear ER in 11% of HGPIN and in a significant number of high-grade, metastatic, and hormone-insensitive prostatic adenocarcinomas. On the other hand, the ERβ was undetectable in prostate tissue by IHC. ERα gene expression was confirmed by ISH showing high steady-state levels of ERα mRNA in premalignant and malignant human tissue, even in the absence of detectable ERα protein.

**Materials and Methods**

**Tissue Selection**

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded, and fresh frozen tissue sections were obtained from 28 patients who underwent radical prostatectomy and pelvic lymphadenectomy for prostate cancer during the period from 1991 to 1998. The pathological stages included pT3c (n = 14), pT3a,b (n = 9), pT2 (n = 5), pN1 (n = 9) (Table 1). Tissue sections from radical prostatectomy specimens submitted for study contained the Gleason primary grades 2 (n = 8), 3 (n = 25), 4 (n = 28), and 5 (n = 18). High-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) was identified in all prostatectomy specimens. The extend of HGPIN was identified by ISH showing high steady-state levels of ERα mRNA in premalignant and malignant human tissue, even in the absence of detectable ERα protein.

**Immunohistochemical Analysis**

Tissue sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated through graded alcohol, and subsequently incubated in H2O2 (0.3%) to block endogenous peroxidase. For microwave-based antigen retrieval, sections were microwaved (750 W for 5 minutes and 450 W for 5 minutes) in 10 mmol/L citrate buffer (pH 6.0). After pretreatment, the sections were incubated for 30 minutes in a normal rabbit serum (Dako, Hamburg, Germany). The mouse monoclonal antibody NCL-ER-6F11, directed against the full-length ER protein, was used in a dilution of 1:200. Sections were incubated overnight in a humid chamber. After incubation with the secondary biotinylated rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin (Dako) for 30 minutes, the horseradish peroxidase-labeled avidin-biotin complex (ABC-HRP) method (Dako) was performed on consecutive sections by replacing the primary antibody with a nonimmune mouse serum.

**Table 1. Pathological Stages and Grades**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Stages</th>
<th>Primary Gleason grades</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>pT3c,pN1</td>
<td>5 (n = 6), 4 (n = 6), 3 (n = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>pT3c,pN0</td>
<td>5 (n = 8), 4 (n = 8), 3 (n = 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>pT3a,b,pN1</td>
<td>5 (n = 3), 4 (n = 3), 3 (n = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>pT3a,b,pN0</td>
<td>5 (n = 1), 4 (n = 6), 3 (n = 6), 2 (n = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>pT2, pN0</td>
<td>4 (n = 5), 3 (n = 5), 2 (n = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>bone metastases</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>recurrent carcinomas</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of patients.
ND, not determined.

The pathological stages included pT3c (n = 14), pT3a,b (n = 9), pT2 (n = 5), pN1 (n = 9) (Table 1). Tissue sections from radical prostatectomy specimens submitted for study contained the Gleason primary grades 2 (n = 8), 3 (n = 25), 4 (n = 28), and 5 (n = 18). High-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) was identified in all prostatectomy specimens. The extend of HGPIN was arbitrarily defined by one microscopic field at low magnification (×100). One hundred seventy-six foci of HGPIN were submitted for study. The pathological stages included pT3c (n = 14), pT3a,b (n = 9), pT2 (n = 5), pN1 (n = 9) (Table 1). Tissue sections from radical prostatectomy specimens submitted for study contained the Gleason primary grades 2 (n = 8), 3 (n = 25), 4 (n = 28), and 5 (n = 18). High-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) was identified in all prostatectomy specimens. The extend of HGPIN was identified by ISH showing high steady-state levels of ERα mRNA in premalignant and malignant human tissue, even in the absence of detectable ERα protein.

**Immunohistochemical Analysis**

Tissue sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated through graded alcohol, and subsequently incubated in H2O2 (0.3%) to block endogenous peroxidase. For microwave-based antigen retrieval, sections were microwaved (750 W for 5 minutes and 450 W for 5 minutes) in 10 mmol/L citrate buffer (pH 6.0). After pretreatment, the sections were incubated for 30 minutes in a normal rabbit serum (Dako, Hamburg, Germany). The mouse monoclonal antibody NCL-ER-6F11, directed against the full-length ER protein, was used in a dilution of 1:200. Sections were incubated overnight in a humid chamber. After incubation with the secondary biotinylated rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin (Dako) for 30 minutes, the horseradish peroxidase-labeled avidin-biotin complex (ABC-HRP) method (Dako) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A signal amplification method based on the deposition of biotinylated tyramine was used to enhance immunodetection of the ER. Preparation of the biotinylated tyramine reagent was recently described in greater detail. After precipitation of the biotinylated tyramine (10 minutes at room temperature) through the enzymatic action of HRP and H2O2 (0.1%), the biotin precipitate was detected with an additional application of the HRP-labeled avidin-biotin complex (Dako) for 30 minutes in a humid chamber. The peroxidase reaction was developed by 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany), leaving a brown end product. Negative controls were performed on consecutive sections by replacing the primary antibody with a nonimmune mouse serum.

To localize the ERα subtype, we have used the NCL-ER-6F11 antibody in a dilution of 1:200. Sections were incubated overnight in a humid chamber. After incubation with the secondary biotinylated rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin (Dako) for 30 minutes, the horseradish peroxidase-labeled avidin-biotin complex (ABC-HRP) method (Dako) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A signal amplification method based on the deposition of biotinylated tyramine was used to enhance immunodetection of the ER. Preparation of the biotinylated tyramine reagent was recently described in greater detail. After precipitation of the biotinylated tyramine (10 minutes at room temperature) through the enzymatic action of HRP and H2O2 (0.1%), the biotin precipitate was detected with an additional application of the HRP-labeled avidin-biotin complex (Dako) for 30 minutes in a humid chamber. The peroxidase reaction was developed by 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany), leaving a brown end product. Negative controls were performed on consecutive sections by replacing the primary antibody with a nonimmune mouse serum.
46–63 and the C-terminal amino acid residues 467–485 of human ERβ, respectively. The specificity of these antibodies was tested by Western blot analysis. For microwave-based antigen retrieval, the deparaffinized slides were microwaved in Target Retrieval Solution (pH 6.1) (Dako) for 30 minutes according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Frozen sections did not require microwave pretreatment. After incubation of the slides with a normal swine serum (Dako), the ERβ antibodies were applied in a dilution of 1:100 overnight. Detection was achieved as described above, except that the secondary biotinylated rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin was replaced by the biotinylated swine anti-rabbit antibody (Dako).

In Situ Hybridization Analysis

Twenty-four base cDNA oligonucleotide antisense and sense probes (antisense: 5'-CTC CAG CTC GTT CCC TTG GAT CTG-3'; sense: 5'-CAG ATC CAA GGG AAC GAG CTG GAG-3') complementary to human ERα mRNA coding for amino acids 17–24 were synthesized from MWG-Biotech (Ebersberg, Germany). This antisense probe sequence is located within a 72-base region of ERα mRNA that shows no homology with glucocorticoid, mineralocorticoid, or progesterone receptors,28,29

Using the BLAST sequence similarity search tool provided by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (Bethesda, MD), the published ERβ cDNA sequences30,31 revealed no homology of the oligonucleotide probe used for the mRNA-ISH assay.

The oligonucleotides (antisense probe and sense probe for negative control) were biotin-16-2'-desoxyuridined-5-triphosphate (bio-16-dUTP) (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany) 3'-tailed with the DNA tailing kit (Boehringer Mannheim). The labeling procedure was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Boehringer Mannheim). The efficiency of labeling reaction was checked on dot blot dilution series.

A standard nonradioactive in situ hybridization method was used as described elsewhere,32 with slide modifications. In brief, 5-μm sections were deparaffinized in xylene (2 × 15 minutes) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), rehydrated through graded alcohol, and pretreated with 10 μg/ml proteinase K (30 minutes, 37°C) (Boehringer Mannheim). After they were washed twice in 2× standard saline citrate (20× SSC stock solution: 3 mol/L NaCl, 0.3 mol/L sodium citrate, pH 7.0) (Merck), the slides were covered with 40 μl of the prehybridization buffer containing 2× SSC, 1× Denhardt’s solution (50× Denhardt’s stock solution: 1% polyvinylchloride, 1% pyrrolidone, 2% bovine serum albumine) (Oncor, Heidelberg, Germany), 10% dextran sulfate (Boehringer Mannheim), 50 mmol/L phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) (Merck), 50 mmol/L 1,4-dithiothreitol (DTT) (Boehringer Mannheim), 250 μg/ml yeast tRNA (Boehringer Mannheim), 100 μg/ml polyadenylic acid (Boehringer Mannheim), 500 μg/ml denaturated and sheared DNA from fish sperm (Boehringer Mannheim), and 26.7% deionized formamide (Oncor) and incubated for 2 hours at 37°C in a humid chamber. The sections were hybridized overnight at 37°C with 40 μl hybridization mixture containing the hybridization buffer and 10 pmol/L labeled oligonucleotide probe per slide.

After the slides were washed in graded concentrations of SSC (2×, 1×, 0.25×); slides were washed twice in each concentration for 30 minutes in a shaking water bath at 37°C). Detection was achieved by the ABC method with the tyramine amplification method described above, leaving a brown end product. The slides were counterstained with hematoxylin.

To prove the specificity of the hybridization process, the following negative controls were performed in each case. The slides were hybridized with the corresponding sense probe. The hybridization procedure was then performed by omitting the sense and antisense probes. In addition, slides pretreated with RNase (Boehringer Mannheim) were hybridized as described above.

Quantitative Analysis of Staining

The staining results obtained by IHC and ISH analysis were classified into six categories ranging from 0 to 5: 0: no staining detectable; 1: less than 5% positive tumor cells; 2: 5–25% positive tumor cells; 3: 25–50% positive tumor cells; 4: 50–75% positive tumor cells; 5: more than 75% positive tumor cells.

Differences in staining intensities obtained by IHC or ISH results were not considered in the scoring system.

HGPIN was evaluated by reporting the presence or absence of detectable ERα protein and mRNA expression in each lesion defined by one microscopic field at low magnification (×100).

Statistical Analysis

Contingence table and χ² analyses were used to study the relation between the ER-IHC score and the primary Gleason grade of primary adenocarcinomas, recurrent disease, and metastases. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS software (SPSS ASC GmbH, Erkrath, Germany). P < 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

Results

Differential Expression of ERα and ERβ in Benign Ovarian and Prostate Tissue

Among the three antibodies tested in the current study, only the NCL-ER-6F11 antibody directed against the classical ER yielded distinct nuclear immunolocalization in benign and malignant prostate tissue. Using the polyclonal 210-180-C050 and the 65-kd anti-rat ERβ antibody, we were unable to demonstrate any reliable nuclear staining in both routinely processed and frozen sections of prostate tissue. On the other hand, distinct nuclear ERβ positivities were identified in granulosa and theca cells, which served as positive controls (Figure 1a). To test the specificity of the NCL-ER-6F11 antibody for ERα, immunohistochemical and ISH analyses were per-
formed in ovarian tissue expressing ERβ. We failed to demonstrate immunoreactive granulosa and theca cells, although these cells revealed detectable levels of ERα mRNA. In benign prostate tissue, ERα mRNA and protein expression was restricted to stromal and basal cells (Figure 1b) but was undetectable in secretory luminal cells reported for the presence of the ERβ at high levels in rat tissue.22,24,25

**ERα Expression in HGPIN and Prostate Cancer**

Using the NCL-ER-6F11 antibody and the staining protocol described above, we were able to demonstrate ERα gene expression in both stromal and epithelial compartments of HGPIN and prostatic adenocarcinoma (Figures 2–4). The IHC and ISH results obtained in epithelial compartments are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. ER protein expression was found in 11% of 176 HGPIN lesions evaluated (Figure 2a). Forty-seven of 168 cases of HGPIN submitted for ISH analysis (28%) revealed detectable mRNA transcripts in the dysplastic epithelium (Figure 2b). Low- to intermediate-grade prostatic adenocarcinoma (primary Gleason grades 2 and 3) showed focal ER positivities in up to 20% of cases (Table 2). Gleason grade 4 and 5 carcinomas variably expressed the ER protein in 43% (respectively 61%) of cases (Figure 4a). Five Gleason grade 4 and three Gleason grade 5 tumors were identified with significant staining (>25% immunoreactive tumor cells). Statistical analysis showed a significant positive correlation between the ER-IHC score and the primary Gleason grade (P < 0.001). Recurrent adenocarcinoma after hormonal therapy expressed the nuclear ER in 94% of cases (Table 2). Significant staining (>25% immunoreactive tumor cells) was recorded in 10 of 18 cases (55.5%) of recurrent adenocarcinoma. Eight lymph node metastases and two bone metastases were ER positive (Figure 4b). Significant staining (>25% positive tumor cells) was noted in five of 11 cases (45.5%) of
metastatic lesions. Statistical analyses comparing high-grade (primary Gleason grades 4 and 5) adenocarcinoma with recurrent and metastatic tumors showed a significant positive correlation with the ER status ($P < 0.001$).

Cancerous lesions expressing the ER protein also revealed high steady-state levels of ERα mRNA. Table 3 summarizes the ISH results obtained in specimens lacking the ER protein in epithelial compartments. A significant number of ER-negative lesions (71.5% of 49 cases) revealed detectable steady-state levels of ERα mRNA (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

Estrogens probably have a dual function in prostate cancer growth. Their therapeutic efficiency in hormone refractory disease is widely believed to be related to their suppressive effect on the pituitary release of gonadotrophins, reducing the testicular output of androgens. Results of the current study suggest that estrogens can also affect neoplastic prostatic growth through a receptor-mediated process. To our knowledge, this is the first report documenting ER gene expression in human prostatic adenocarcinoma and its precursor lesions. The discrepancy with the results of previous studies\textsuperscript{13–19} that failed to demonstrate ER immunoreactivity in prostate cancer cells may be related to antibody specificity, more effective antigen retrieval, and tissue processing. For example, we were unable to demonstrate the nuclear ERβ in human prostate tissue, although the antibodies used in the current study allowed reliable detection of the ERβ subtype in ovarian granulosa cells. These negative results contrast with recent data reporting the presence of the ERβ variant in the rat prostatic epithelium.\textsuperscript{22,24,25} Irrespective of possible explanations, the relative distribution of the ERβ protein in human tissues is currently unknown. More effective antibodies are probably required to address this issue. Among the antibodies tested in the present study, only the NCL-ER-6F11 antibody was suitable for detection of the nuclear ER in prostatic adenocarcinoma and its precursor lesions. This commercially available antibody is directed against the

---

**Figure 3.** Intermediate-grade prostatic adenocarcinoma (primary Gleason grade 3). \(a\): Intense immunoreactivity for the ER is found in numerous stromal cells, whereas neoplastic acini lack the ER protein. \(b\): On adjacent sections, \textit{in situ} hybridization detects high steady-state levels of ERα mRNA in the neoplastic lesion. Preexistent glands show hybridization signals predominantly in basal cells (arrow). Some stromal cells reveal low steady-state levels of ERα mRNA. Original magnification: \(a\), ×100; \(b\), ×120.

**Figure 4.** High-grade (primary Gleason grade 4) adenocarcinoma (\(a\)) and lymph node metastases (\(b\)). Using the NCL-ER-6F11 antibody, strong and extensive nuclear ER protein expression is detected in both cancerous lesions. Original magnification: \(a\), ×100; \(b\), ×120.
whole protein of the classical ER (ER\(\alpha\)) and has a wide application in routine practice in the assessment of the ER status in breast and endometrial neoplasias.\(^{26}\) There is currently no convincing evidence that this antibody cross-reacts with ER\(\beta\). For example, granulosa and theca cells expressing ER\(\beta\) were unreactive with NCL-ER-6F11. Furthermore, the differential expression of ER\(\alpha\) mRNA and protein obtained in human prostate tissue differed significantly from the immunolocalization of ER\(\beta\) reported in the rat prostate gland.\(^{22,24,25}\)

HGPIN is considered to be the most likely precursor of intermediate- and high-grade prostatic adenocarcinoma.\(^{33,34}\) In our series, approximately 11% of these precursor lesions expressed the classical ER in the dysplastic epithelium. In addition, ISH analysis revealed ER\(\alpha\) transcripts in 28% of HGPIN investigated. No correlation was found between the ER status in HGPIN and that of invasive cancer present in the same tissue section. The presence of ER\(\alpha\) clearly indicates that subsets of HGPIN are estrogen-responsive through a receptor-mediated process. Interestingly, a recent study has identified the estrogen-inducible PS-2 protein in a significant number of nonneoplastic and dysplastic prostate tissue from patients with locally advanced prostate cancer, but not in prostate tissue from patients without evidence of malignant disease.\(^{35}\) These data, along with evidence from experimental and epidemiological studies,\(^{1-11}\) suggest that estrogens can affect early phases of prostatic carcinogenesis through an ER-mediated pathway. This warrants further investigations of surrogate markers of estrogen action and estrogen-regulated genes to define their role in the malignant transformation of the prostatic epithelium.

Results of the current study clearly demonstrate the presence of the nuclear ER in stromal and epithelial compartments of common prostatic adenocarcinoma. In primary tumors, the extent of detectable ER in epithelial compartments correlated significantly with the primary Gleason grade. Low- to intermediate-grade adenocarcinoma expressed the ER protein in a minority of cases. On the other hand, high-grade (primary Gleason grades 4 and 5) tumors revealed at least focal ER positivities in 43% (respectively 61%) of cases. The most consistent and extensive ER \(\alpha\) mRNA and protein expression observed in the current study was detected in recurring carcinomas after hormonal therapy and in metastatic lesions, including lymph node and bone metastases. In particular, hormone refractory and metastatic lesions showed significant ER protein expression (>25% immunoreactive tumor cells) in 55.5% and 45.5% of cases evaluated. It is noteworthy that the levels of ER \(\alpha\) detected by ISH were significantly higher than that obtained by IHC. These apparent discrepancies in ER protein and mRNA expression are difficult to interpret. It is possible that receptor saturation by ligand binding and subsequent degradation of the ER-ligand complex accounts for decreased detection of the ER protein by IHC. Whether more powerful IHC techniques can increase the detection rate of nuclear ER \(\alpha\) in prostatic adenocarcinoma remains to be established.

In summary, the preliminary data presented here suggest that the progressive emergence of the classical ER in common prostatic malignancies runs parallel to the process of dedifferentiation, metastasis, and androgen insensitivity. This observation may have clinical implications, inasmuch as androgen ablation therapy increases the estrogen/androgen ratio in cancerous tissue. Tumor cells expressing the ER protein are potentially estrogen-responsive and may survive in an androgen-deprived milieu by using estrogens for their continuous maintenance and growth. Much more work is needed to define the molecular bases of ER gene expression and its down-

---

Table 2. Comparative Evaluation of the Classical ER in Primary Prostatic Adenocarcinoma (Primary Gleason Grades 2–5), Recurrent Carcinoma after Hormonal Therapy (r. PCA), and Distant Metastases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ER-ISH score</th>
<th>prim. Gl. 2</th>
<th>prim. Gl. 3</th>
<th>prim. Gl. 4</th>
<th>prim. Gl. 5</th>
<th>r. PCA</th>
<th>Met.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>2/4</td>
<td>6/15</td>
<td>2/15</td>
<td>2/7</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–5% positive</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–25% positive</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>3/15</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–50% positive</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>1/15</td>
<td>3/15</td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–75% positive</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1/15</td>
<td>1/15</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–100% positive</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>7/15</td>
<td>6/15</td>
<td>4/7</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive (all cases)</td>
<td>2/4</td>
<td>9/15</td>
<td>13/15</td>
<td>5/7</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staining intensities obtained by ISH analysis (ER-ISH score) were evaluated by a grading system ranging from 0 to 5. Thirty-five of 49 cancerous lesions (71.5%) lacking ER positivities revealed detectable steady-state levels of ER\(\alpha\) mRNA.
stream effector pathways during prostatic carcinogene-
sis and progression to androgen-insensitive disease. 
Progress in this field may lead to novel therapeutic stra-
tegies interfering with the ER-mediated process. The oc-
currence of the classical ER in a substantial number of 
androgen-insensitive and metastatic carcinomas raises 
the question of whether these tumors should be treated 
with antiestrogens when the ER protein is detectable in 
cancerous tissue.
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